



2018/2094(INI)

20.9.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 244

Draft report
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo
(PE625.528v01-00)

The state of the debate on the Future of Europe
(2018/2094(INI))

Amendment 1
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the European Convention on Human Rights, to the European Social Charter, the Additional Protocol thereto and the revised version thereof,*

Or. en

Amendment 2
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 26 October 2016 with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights,*

Or. en

Amendment 3
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 14 September 2017 on transparency, accountability and integrity in the EU institutions,*

Or. en

Amendment 4
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents,*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the 2018 State of the European Union address of 12 September 2018 by Commission President Jean-Claude Juncker,*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Sorbonne Speech of French President Emmanuel Macron of 26 September 2017, entitled ‘Initiative for Europe: A sovereign, united*

deleted

democratic Europe,

Or. en

Amendment 7

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the Commission's roadmap for deepening Europe's Economic and Monetary Union (EMU) of 6 December 2017 (COM(2017)0821) and in particular the proposal to establish a European Monetary Fund (EMF) (COM(2017)0827), the proposal to integrate the substance of the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance into the Union legal framework (COM(2017)0824) and the communication on a European Minister of Economy and Finance (COM(2017)0823),* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 8

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— having regard to the European Council meeting of 14-15 December, and to the Leaders' meeting and Euro summit meetings taking place in the margins thereof,

— having regard to the European Council meeting of 14-15 December **2017**, and to the Leaders' meeting and Euro summit meetings taking place in the margins thereof,

Or. en

Amendment 9
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the statement on the Future of Europe made by the Visegrad Group countries (Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia) on 26 January 2018;*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 36

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to the European Council meeting of 28-29 June 2018,*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 11
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. *whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration without equal and has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 12
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is an **example** of supranational integration without equal **and** has brought lasting peace, **prosperity and welfare to** its peoples;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is an **experiment** of supranational integration without equal **which** has brought lasting peace **among its members and engaged to put the promotion of its values – namely respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities – and the well-being of its peoples at the core of its action;**

Or. en

Amendment 13
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is **an example** of supranational integration **without equal and has brought lasting** peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is **a unique experiment** of supranational integration **with the aims of bringing** peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples; **whereas these goals are being threatened by the political drive for an ever closer union which has led to a European Union which is too centralised, too overreaching and too out of touch with ordinary citizens;**

Or. en

Amendment 14
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration without equal **and has brought lasting** peace, prosperity and **welfare to its peoples**;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration without equal, **whereas today it continues to embody one of the greatest political ambitions Europeans have held, and** whereas peace, prosperity and **shared security are unthinkable without a united Europe endowed with the resources and powers needed to meet the challenges of the 21st century**;

Or. fr

Amendment 15

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration **without equal and** has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration **which** has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples **ever since the groundbreaking Schuman Declaration of 9 May 1950**;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational integration

without equal and has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples;

without equal and has brought lasting peace, **stability**, prosperity and welfare to its peoples;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational **integration** without equal **and has brought lasting peace, prosperity and welfare to its peoples;**

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is an example of supranational **co-operation** without equal **which has sustained peace in Europe**

Or. en

Amendment 18
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. whereas the Union has achieved fundamental transformations, such as the free movement of goods, services, capital and people, the single currency, the Erasmus programme, regional, agricultural and cohesion policies, and Horizon 2020, among many others, that contribute to the well-being of European citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas over the past few years the Union has *faced* multiple crises which have *tested its resilience and capacity to act in a decisive and united manner*;

Amendment

B. whereas over the past few years the Union has *struggled to cope with* multiple crises which have *demonstrated that the EU's 'one size fits all' approach to all policy areas has created a Union which is too bureaucratic and over-centralised*;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas over the past few years the Union has faced multiple crises which have *tested* its resilience and capacity to act in a decisive *and* united manner;

Amendment

B. whereas over the past few years the Union has faced multiple crises which have *strongly weakened* its resilience and capacity to act in a decisive, united *and rights-based* manner *and to fulfil its duty of solidarity*;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. *whereas the 2014-2017 period has seen more socially balanced and effective monetary and macroeconomic policies that have contributed to the economic and social recovery, such as the non-standard*

policies of the ECB, the flexibility of the Stability and Growth Pact, and the Investment Plan for Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas, among the major challenges the Union must meet, that of putting the public interest ahead of private interests, and more particularly the most powerful of those, is one of the most important and most fundamental;

Or. fr

Amendment 23

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas in order to meet it the Union should guarantee the independence of its civil servants, its elected representatives and its political office-holders, in particular by monitoring their dealings with lobby groups, guaranteeing the impartiality of the expert advice on which they base their decisions and making decision-making processes as transparent as possible;

Or. fr

Amendment 24
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the *economic* crisis, *important and urgent reforms still lie ahead in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of recovery of the social standards of our welfare state*;

Amendment

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the *financial* crisis, *its structural causes are yet to be tackled and significant economic challenges remain which can only be solved by the Member States building dynamic competitive economies and through improving levels of productivity and innovation to create jobs and prosperity*;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the economic crisis, important and urgent reforms still lie ahead in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of *recovery of* the social standards of our welfare state;

Amendment

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the economic crisis, important and urgent reforms still lie ahead in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of *further strengthening of the Single Market and* the social standards of our welfare state;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

PE627.860v01-00

12/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the economic crisis, important and urgent reforms still lie ahead in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of recovery of the social standards of our welfare state;

Amendment

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the economic crisis, important and urgent reforms still lie ahead ***in some Member States***, in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of recovery of the social standards of our welfare state;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the economic crisis, important and urgent reforms still lie ahead in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of recovery of the social standards of our welfare state;

Amendment

C. whereas although Europe has managed to overcome the most critical moments of the economic crisis, important and urgent reforms still lie ahead in the area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of recovery ***and development*** of the social standards of our welfare state;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas although Europe has managed to ***overcome*** the most critical moments of the ***economic*** crisis, important and urgent reforms still ***lie ahead in the***

Amendment

C. whereas, although Europe has managed to ***contain*** the most critical moments of the ***financial*** crisis, important and urgent reforms ***are*** still ***necessary to***

area of economic governance in general and in the euro area in particular, as well as in terms of recovery of the social standards of our welfare state;

boost economic recovery, promote social progress and inclusion and bridge the gap between surplus and deficit countries;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. whereas substantial reforms of the EU policies aimed at tackling the major challenges that are affecting Union citizens, such as increased inequalities, poverty and social exclusion, high-rate unemployment, work precariousness, unchanged debt unsustainability and ineffective social protection, represent the first and indispensable step to regain grassroots support for the EU project as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges *facing* the Union *in a hostile global world, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, climate change, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective* enshrined *the*

D. whereas *the EU should promote a renewed spirit of cooperation and solidarity among its Members* in view of the multiple current and future challenges the Union *is facing, taking as reference point the founding principles* enshrined *in articles 2 and 3 TEU and the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union;*

Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union *in a hostile global world, in particular those concerning migration*, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, *climate change*, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against *anti-EU* populism, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

Amendment

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union, *in particular those concerning migration, climate change, the gradual depletion of biodiversity, the safeguarding of the environment and public health, widening socioeconomic inequalities and increasing levels of exclusion*, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against populism, *nationalism, intolerance and xenophobia*, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

Or. fr

Amendment 32

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in **a hostile global world**, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, climate change, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

Amendment

D. whereas in view of the multiple **internal and external** current and future challenges facing the Union in **an unstable and partly** hostile global **environment**, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, **preserving the multilateral world order**, completing the EMU, globalisation, climate change, **free and fair and rules-based** international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in a hostile global world, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, **completing the EMU**, globalisation, climate change, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the **development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the** Union; **whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;**

Amendment

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in a hostile global world, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, globalisation, climate change, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the **Union needs to avoid becoming overreached by focusing on doing less more efficiently as outlined in scenario four of the Commission's White Paper on the Future of the European** Union;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in a *hostile* global world, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, *climate change*, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe *should* continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; *whereas* these *clear* challenges can *only be addressed if* tackled *together*;

Amendment

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in a global world, in particular those concerning migration, *demographic decline*, terrorism, security, *climate change*, completing the EMU, globalisation, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective enshrined *in* the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe *must* continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union *in order to complete the process of European political integration*, so these challenges can *be effectively* tackled;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in a hostile global world, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, climate change, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty of creating an ever closer union among the

Amendment

D. whereas in view of the multiple current and future challenges facing the Union in a hostile global world, in particular those concerning migration, terrorism, security, completing the EMU, globalisation, climate change, international trade, foreign affairs and defence, the development of the social pillar, and the fight against anti-EU populism, *rising nationalisms and economic protectionism*, the objective enshrined the Lisbon Treaty

peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe should continue to inspire the actions taken by the Union; whereas these clear challenges can only be addressed if tackled together;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas by ratifying the Paris Agreement the Union has undertaken to continue the efforts to limit the increase in global temperatures to 1.5 °C by comparison with pre-industrial levels, and whereas this objective can only be achieved if we revise upwards our targets for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, the share of the energy mix accounted for by renewables and energy savings;

Or. fr

Amendment 37

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas the European Parliament is very concerned about the rise of populist, xenophobic and anti-European movements all over Europe; whereas the Union and its Member States must strengthen their efforts to defend and promote the democratic values, founding

Amendment 38

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 leading to the UK's announcement on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union; *whereas this is reflected, besides in Parliament's own resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017, in the Bratislava Declaration and Roadmap, the Commission White Paper on the Future of Europe, the Rome Declaration, the Leaders' Agenda adopted by the European Council in October 2017, and various contributions by individual Member States or groups of them;*

Amendment

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 leading to the UK's announcement on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union;

Amendment 39

Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 *leading* to the UK's announcement on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union; *whereas this is reflected, besides in Parliament's own resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017, in*

Amendment

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 *which lead* to the UK's announcement on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union, *despite the fact that the people of Northern Ireland voted to remain within the EU;* has intensified the debate on the future of the Union;

the Bratislava Declaration and Roadmap, the Commission White Paper on the Future of Europe, the Rome Declaration, the Leaders' Agenda adopted by the European Council in October 2017, and various contributions by individual Member States or groups of them;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 leading to the UK's announcement on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union; whereas this is reflected, besides in Parliament's own resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017, in the Bratislava Declaration and Roadmap, the Commission White Paper on the Future of Europe, the Rome Declaration, the Leaders' Agenda adopted by the European Council in October 2017, and various contributions by individual Member States or groups of them;

Amendment

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 leading to the UK's announcement on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union; whereas this is reflected, besides in Parliament's own resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017, in the Bratislava Declaration and Roadmap, the Commission White Paper on the Future of Europe, the Rome Declaration, the Leaders' Agenda adopted by the European Council in October 2017, and various contributions by individual Member States or groups of them; *whereas the decision of the British people demonstrates the discontent of citizens with current state of the European Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 41

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 leading to the UK's **announcement** on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union; whereas this is reflected, besides in Parliament's own resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017, in the Bratislava Declaration and Roadmap, the Commission White Paper on the Future of Europe, the Rome Declaration, the Leaders' Agenda adopted by the European Council in October 2017, and various contributions by individual Member States or groups of them;

Amendment

E. whereas the referendum in the UK of June 2016 leading to the UK's **notification** on 29 March 2017 of its intention to leave the European Union has intensified the debate on the future of the Union; whereas this is reflected, besides in Parliament's own resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017, in the Bratislava Declaration and Roadmap, the Commission White Paper on the Future of Europe, the Rome Declaration, the Leaders' Agenda adopted by the European Council in October 2017, and various contributions by individual Member States or groups of them;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. Whereas the negotiation on the envisaged departure of the UK from the EU have revealed the high level of interdependence of the member states, the degree to which we all rely on joint instruments and policies and the costs of any departure;

Or. en

Amendment 43

Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas the last elections in various Member States clearly show a rise of euroscepticism;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas the latest Eurobarometer survey, conducted between 17 and 28 March 2018, shows that a majority of Europeans have a positive image of the EU (40 %) and that this proportion continues to exceed that of those who have a neutral image of the EU (37 %); whereas just above a fifth of Europeans have a negative image of the EU (21 %);

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 45

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas the latest Eurobarometer survey, conducted between 17 and 28 March 2018, shows that a majority of Europeans have a positive image of the EU (40 %) and that this proportion continues to exceed that of those who have a neutral image of the EU (37 %); whereas just above a fifth of Europeans have a negative image of the EU (21 %);

F. whereas the latest Eurobarometer survey, conducted between 17 and 28 March 2018, shows that a majority of Europeans have a positive image of the EU (40 %) and that this proportion continues to exceed that of those who have a neutral image of the EU(37 %); whereas just above a fifth of Europeans have a negative image of the EU (21 %); ***whereas, however, such positive image seems contradicted by the result of national and local elections in several Member States;***

Amendment 46

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas the latest Eurobarometer survey, conducted between 17 and 28 March 2018, shows that a majority of Europeans have a positive image of the EU (40 %) and that this proportion continues to exceed that of those who have a neutral image of the EU (37 %); whereas just above a fifth of Europeans have a negative image of the EU (21 %);

Amendment

F. ***whereas according to the Eurobarometer the EU is at its highest level of popularity since 1983***; whereas the latest Eurobarometer survey, conducted between 17 and 28 March 2018, shows that a majority of Europeans have a positive image of the EU (40 %) and that this proportion continues to exceed that of those who have a neutral image of the EU (37 %); whereas just above a fifth of Europeans have a negative image of the EU (21 %);

Amendment 47

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F a. Whereas the results of recent elections, referenda and opinion polls consistently show that the citizens of the European Union reject the supranationalist model of a centralised European Union driven by ideology which undermines the principles of subsidiarity and democracy as highlighted by the German constitutional court;

Amendment 48
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F a. whereas the values and principles on which the Union is based define a sphere with which every European citizen can identify himself or herself, irrespective of political or cultural differences linked to national identity;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. whereas the upcoming elections to the European Parliament present an opportunity to ***take stock of*** the debate on the future of Europe, also in view of the principal institutional priorities of the three institutions for the new term;

G. whereas the upcoming elections to the European Parliament present an opportunity to ***draw some concrete and appropriate conclusions from*** the debate on the future of Europe, also in view of the principal institutional priorities of the three institutions for the new term; ***whereas the upcoming European Parliament elections represent also an opportunity to reshape the Union's course of action through a redefinition of its political priorities by promoting a new socially and environmentally oriented economic and political model based on the full implementation of the principles enshrined in Part I, Title II, of the TFEU and in the EU Charter of fundamental rights;***

Or. en

Amendment 50
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the upcoming elections to the European Parliament present an opportunity to take stock of the debate on the future of Europe, also in view of the principal institutional priorities of the three institutions for the new term;

Amendment

G. whereas the upcoming elections to the European Parliament present an opportunity to take stock of the debate on the future of Europe, also in view of the principal institutional priorities of the three institutions for the new term; ***whereas the views of all political groups in the European Parliament need to better be taken into account in the next term;***

Or. en

Amendment 51
Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the upcoming elections to the European Parliament present an opportunity to take stock of the debate on the future of Europe, also in view of the principal institutional priorities of the ***three institutions*** for the new term;

Amendment

G. whereas the upcoming elections to the European Parliament present an opportunity to take stock of the debate on the future of Europe, also in view of the principal institutional priorities of the ***European Parliament, the Commission and the Council*** for the new term;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the EU is ***facing a particularly important period in its construction process***, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these ***can only*** be solved by ***working together and through greater and better*** integration;

Amendment

H. whereas the EU is ***at a critical juncture point***, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these ***challenges cannot*** be solved by ***undertaking more supranational integration but only by a far more free and differentiated cooperation between Member States and a restoration of a genuine democratic control by national parliaments***;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can only be solved by working together and through greater and better integration;

Amendment

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can only be solved by working together and through greater and better integration ***and through more consistent efforts of solidarity***;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can only be solved by working

Amendment

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can only be solved by working

together *and through greater and better integration*;

together *in full respect of the principle of subsidiarity and fundamental rights*

Or. en

Amendment 55
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can *only* be solved by working together *and through greater and better integration*;

Amendment

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can be solved by working together ;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can only be solved by working together *and through greater and better integration*;

Amendment

H. whereas the EU is facing a particularly important period in its construction process, given the nature and dimension of its challenges, and whereas these can only be solved by working together;

Or. cs

Amendment 57
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H a. whereas the task of implementing greater and better integration requires working in three different and related levels: a) defending past achievements and the acquis; b) exploiting to the full extent the current provisions of the Treaty Lisbon; c) reforming the Treaties in order to improve the institutional decision-making and the balance of competencies;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas institutional reforms should aim at making decision-making processes more democratic, enhancing the transparency of decision-making and the accountability of the Union; whereas, in view of these aims, it is ***an opportune time*** to organise consultations and dialogue with citizens;

I. whereas institutional reforms should aim at making decision-making processes more democratic, enhancing the transparency of decision-making and the accountability of the Union ***and its institutions***; whereas, in view of these aims, it is ***appropriate*** to organise consultations and ***promote a regular dialogue with citizens and representative associations, in line with the requirements of article 11 TEU***;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas institutional reforms should aim at making decision-making processes more democratic, enhancing the transparency of decision-making and the accountability of the Union; whereas, in view of these aims, it is an opportune time to organise consultations and dialogue with citizens;

I. whereas institutional reforms should aim at making decision-making processes more democratic, enhancing the transparency of decision-making and the accountability of the Union; whereas, in view of these aims, it is an opportune time to *promote a major civil implication in the European project and* organise consultations and dialogue with citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I a. whereas the European governance structure of supranational and intergovernmental ad hoc elements has become obsolete; whereas the Union needs a stronger government structure, regulated in the Treaties and with an enhanced democratic control of the European Parliament, to face the challenges of today and the future;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I a. whereas transparency and integrity of the EU institutions and bodies are essential conditions to build trust and confidence vis-à-vis citizens and to allow them to fully enjoy and exercise the rights deriving from the EU law;

Amendment 62

Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas the Meseberg Declaration proposes putting in place transnational lists for the European elections as of 2024; **deleted**

Or. pt

Amendment 63

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas the Meseberg Declaration proposes putting in place transnational lists for the European elections as of 2024;

J. whereas the Meseberg Declaration proposes putting in place transnational lists for the European elections as of 2024, **while this Parliament has rejected the introduction of transnational lists;**

Or. en

Amendment 64

Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas the Meseberg Declaration proposes putting in place transnational lists for the European elections as of 2024;

J. whereas the Meseberg Declaration proposes putting in place transnational lists for the European elections as of 2024 **and that proposal was rejected by the**

Or. en

Amendment 65
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas *the Meseberg Declaration proposes putting in place transnational lists for the European elections as of 2024*;

Amendment

J. whereas *in February 2018, Parliament voted comprehensively against the introduction of a transnational list for European elections*;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas in its report (2017/2054 (INL) - 2017/0900), the European Parliament rejected at the outset the creation of transnational lists; whereas the debate on the future of the Union must not be a return to the past, bearing in mind that the legitimacy and the democratic nature of the European project are fundamentally based on respect for decisions taken by Parliament; whereas transnational lists are in breach of the degressive proportionality principle, serving to consolidate the Eurosceptic movements and alienate voters from their

constituencies, as well as being perceived as a drift towards centralism, widening the gap between Member States and upsetting the democratic balance of the Union;

Or. pt

Amendment 67

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J a. whereas the promotion of an European dimension of culture and education are vital for the reinforcement of European citizenship, taking into account that the Union suffers from a knowledge deficit which causes that the Unions achievements tend to be taken for granted by the younger generations;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. ***Recalls*** that Parliament's resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017 emphasised the importance of the single institutional framework and the Community method and suggested several proposals and initiatives ***of particular importance for European integration that can contribute to building Europe's future;***

1. ***Regrets*** that Parliament's resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017 emphasised the importance of the single institutional framework and the Community method and suggested several ***flawed*** proposals and initiatives ***that will lead to further centralisation whilst deconstructing European democracies and diversity; instead proposes reforming the European Union***

by taking an intergovernmentalist approach that allows for common action to be taken between Member States only when it fully respects the principles of conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that Parliament's resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017 emphasised the importance of the single institutional framework and the Community method and suggested several proposals and initiatives *of particular importance for European integration that can contribute to building Europe's future;*

Amendment

1. Recalls that Parliament's resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017 emphasised the importance of the single institutional framework and the Community method and suggested several proposals and initiatives *in this regard;*

Or. en

Amendment 70
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. *Recalls that Parliament's resolutions on the future of Europe of 16 February 2017 emphasised the importance of the single institutional framework and the Community method and suggested several proposals and initiatives of particular importance for European integration that can contribute to building Europe's future;*

Amendment

1. *Considers that a debate on the state of the debate on the Future of Europe should be an opportunity to objectively assess the state of European integration and not an exercise of self-satisfaction aimed at proposing the same solutions to increasingly pressing problems ;*

Amendment 71
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with **greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;**

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with political **co-operation. Acknowledges that there are problems that can be resolved more effectively through co-operation between Member States, when pursued in compliance and promotion of human rights, and in full respect for fundamental freedom, democratic principles and the sovereignty of Member States;**

Or. en

Amendment 72
Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, **as well as the Single Market, freedom of movement of goods, services and**

capital, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future ***with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together***; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens ***want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model*** on the basis of shared sovereignty, ***and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together***;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future ***by reforming to become a community of nations cooperating in shared confederal institutions in areas in which the nations have common interests***; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe ***who stated*** that citizens ***are concerned by the federalist vision behind the call for further integration but remain determined to continue working together*** on the basis of shared sovereignty ***in areas which are mutually beneficial to do so***;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect ***for*** fundamental ***and*** democratic ***values, and by working together***; ***agrees with*** the heads of state and government ***who addressed***

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect ***and promotion of human rights and*** fundamental ***freedoms and of the*** democratic ***principles***; ***invites*** the heads of state and government ***to pursue***

Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

this path in a renewed spirit of solidarity and collaboration reminding that the problems having an European dimension can only be solved together;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together, *thus full political integration is required;*

Or. en

Amendment 76 Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with full respect for fundamental and

democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights, *their welfare* and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with *greater and* better political *integration*, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of *shared* sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can *only* be solved together;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the Union must tackle the challenges of its future with better *and new* political *proposals*, with full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their *way of life, their* rights and their social model on the basis of sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can be solved together;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. *Underlines* that the Union *must* tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with

Amendment

2. *Points out* that the Union *could* tackle the challenges of its future with greater and better political integration, with

full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

full respect for fundamental and democratic values, and by working together; agrees with the heads of state and government who addressed Parliament in plenary during the debates on the future of Europe that citizens want a Europe that protects their rights and their social model on the basis of shared sovereignty, and that the problems we face in Europe can only be solved together;

Or. cs

Amendment 79

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as ***an example*** of deeper European integration, ***not as a way to facilitate*** à la carte ***solutions***;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as ***a method*** of deeper European integration, ***although this must not be confused with "à la carte" method; insists on the need to avoid any perception of the creation of first-class and second-class membership within the European Union in the current debate on the evolution of differentiated integration;***

Or. en

Amendment 80

Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated ***integration*** must remain

Amendment

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated ***cooperation*** must remain

open to all Member States and *continue to act as an example of deeper* European integration, *not as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions*;

open to all Member States and *provide an option to both Member States and citizens which wish to remain part of the* European project whilst simultaneously protecting their national democracy, culture and identities by refraining from further *supranational* integration;

Or. en

Amendment 81 **Gerolf Annemans**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as *an example of deeper European integration, not as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions*;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 82 **Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as an example of deeper European integration, not as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as an example of deeper European integration *and solidarity*, not as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Martina Anderson

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as an example of *deeper* European *integration*, not as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its belief that differentiated integration must remain open to all Member States and continue to act as an example of European *co-operation*, not as a way to facilitate à la carte solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Suggests to render the requirements for establishing enhanced and structured cooperation less restrictive, inter alia by lowering the minimum number of participating Member States and recalls that differentiated integration should not be a way to reduce the political integration;

Or. en

Amendment 85

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the Council, and in

Amendment

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the Council, and in

particular the European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of initiative;

particular the European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of initiative; ***reiterates its call on the European Council to fully respect the boundaries of its competences as enshrined, in particular, in article 15 TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 86

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the Council, and in particular the European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of initiative;

Amendment

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the Council, and in particular the European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of initiative; ***considers this to be a flagrant misuse of the Union method; reiterates the European Parliament's numerous resolutions in this regard;***

Or. en

Amendment 87

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the Council, and in particular the European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of

Amendment

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the Council, and in particular the European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of

initiative;

initiative *and Parliament's power of scrutiny*;

Or. fr

Amendment 88

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the ***Council, and in particular the*** European Council, is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of initiative;

Amendment

4. Stresses that the crisis has produced an imbalance between the main institutions of the Union, and that the European Council is exercising its own political initiative to the detriment of the Commission's right of initiative ***by reinforcing the intergovernmental method***;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4 a. Stresses that the Commission, when exercising its right of initiative, should act only after conducting thorough impact assessments, and should seek formal authority from the Member States to the principle of proposing a legislative initiative in advance;

Or. en

Amendment 90

PE627.860v01-00

42/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Gerolf Annemans

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is ***an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and advocates therefore, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;***

Amendment

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is ***a guarantee against European interferences ;***

Or. en

Amendment 91

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and advocates therefore, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;

Amendment

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and advocates therefore, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure ***in all areas where this is possible***; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;

Or. fr

Amendment 92

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an ***almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and advocates therefore, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;***

Amendment

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an ***intentionally high requirement in the decision-making procedure in order to ensure that all Member States have a strong voice in the Council and can protect their national interest with the use of a veto; stresses that the introduction of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council would further weaken the EU's respect for the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality whilst isolating Member States who are opposed to further integration;***

Or. en

Amendment 93

Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and ***advocates therefore***, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;

Amendment

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and ***therefore suggests***, with regard to decision-making procedures, ***that*** the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure ***should be investigated***; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333

Amendment 94

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 5***Motion for a resolution*

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and advocates therefore, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and the use of the ordinary legislative procedure; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;

Amendment

5. Reiterates that the unanimity, which the Treaties require in some fundamental matters, is an almost insurmountable obstacle in important moments and decisions, and advocates therefore, with regard to decision-making procedures, the principle of qualified majority voting (QMV) in Council and *for legislation* the use of the ordinary legislative procedure; recalls that under the current Treaties this can be achieved by using the various passerelle clauses or, in the case of enhanced cooperation, by using Article 333 TFEU;

Amendment 95

Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 6***Motion for a resolution*

6. Welcomes in this regard the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using QMV in the Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy, but regrets that the MFF regulation is not

Amendment

deleted

among the subjects listed;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes in this regard the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using QMV in the Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy, ***but regrets that*** the MFF ***regulation is not among*** the subjects ***listed;***

Amendment

6. Welcomes in this regard the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using QMV in the Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy, ***and calls for that proposal to be put into practice; takes the view that, in order to guarantee more effective financing of European public policies, the MFF should also be one of the subjects for which QMV is introduced in the Council; takes the view, further, that Article 116 TFEU should be employed as of now in an effort to eliminate fiscal dumping between Member States;***

Or. fr

Amendment 97

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. ***Welcomes in this regard*** the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using

Amendment

6. ***Regrets*** the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using QMV in the

QMV in the Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy, *but regrets* that the *MFF regulation is not among the subjects listed*;

Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy; *reminds* that the *ability for citizens to elect national representatives to set taxes is a fundamental part of a modern democracy and that any taxes set at an EU level would further exacerbate the problem of the EU's democratic deficit*;

Or. en

Amendment 98

Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. *Welcomes* in this regard the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using QMV in the Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy, but regrets that the MFF regulation is not among the subjects listed;

Amendment

6. *Notes* in this regard the announcement by President Juncker in his State of the Union address of 13 September 2017 of the intention to propose using QMV in the Council for matters such as the common consolidated corporate tax base (CCTB), VAT and taxation of the digital economy, but regrets that the MFF regulation is not among the subjects listed;

Or. cs

Amendment 99

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. *Welcomes in particular the fact that the Commission and some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to*

deleted

Amendment

Speak with one voice;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Welcomes *in particular the fact that the Commission and some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak with one voice;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 101
Pervenche Berès, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Welcomes *in particular the fact that the Commission and some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak with one voice;*

7. Welcomes *the fact that, in that same address given on 13 September 2018, the President of the Commission alluded to the need to use QMV in the Council for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak with one voice;*

Or. fr

Amendment 102
Morten Messerschmidt

PE627.860v01-00

48/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. **Welcomes in particular** the fact that the Commission and *some* Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given *the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak with one voice*;

Amendment

7. **Expresses serious concerns with** the fact that the Commission and *a few* Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given *that such policies must remain a national competence as an independent foreign policy is a key requirement for a nation to be sovereign*;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Richard Corbett, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes in particular the fact that the Commission and some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak *with one voice*;

Amendment

7. Welcomes in particular the fact that the Commission and some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak *clearly*;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. **Welcomes in particular the fact**

Amendment

7. **Notes** that the Commission and

that the Commission and some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak with one voice;

some Member States are also contemplating using QMV for the common foreign and security policy, given the importance of speeding up decision-making and making it more effective, and the need for the Union to speak with one voice;

Or. cs

Amendment 105
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 106
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process; *however, considers that it would be appropriate, in*

Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency *from* the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

the first place, to redefine the competences of the Council vis-à-vis those of the European Parliament with the aim of strengthening the co-decision procedure and increasing the transparency of the whole legislative process, including the Trilogues; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency *of* the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect; *asks to the Council to swiftly address the concerns raised with regard to the transparency of its decision-making process and the access to documents by taking into account, inter alia, the Recommendation of the European Ombudsman in case OI/2/2017/TE on the Transparency of the Council legislative process, the recent judgment of 22 March 2018 of the General Court in the case Emilio De Capitani v. European Parliament (T-540/15) and the Letter of the COSAC Delegations to the EU Institutions of 20 December 2017 on the transparency of political decision-making within the EU*;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the

AM\1163082EN.docx

Amendment

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber, *on an equal footing with Parliament*, and to improve the transparency of its *functioning and of the EU* decision-making process *in general*;

51/123

PE627.860v01-00

Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process, ***which finds evidence of maladministration***, and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect; ***takes the view that in general, as co-legislators, the Council and Parliament should be equally transparent, which means, inter alia, that the standpoints defended by the representatives of the Member States, from the stage involving consideration in working parties, should be made public and that MEPs should be able to attend the relevant meetings as observers;***

Or. fr

Amendment 108

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

Amendment

8. Reiterates its suggestion to transform the Council into a true legislative chamber ***for territorial representation, limiting his action to the shared or exclusive competence of the national States***, and to improve the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

Or. en

Amendment 109

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. **Reiterates its suggestion to transform** the Council **into a true** legislative chamber and **to improve** the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

Amendment

8. **Recalls that** the Council **is a** legislative chamber and **reiterates that this should be made more visible to the public by improving** the transparency of its decision-making process; points in this context to the special report by the Ombudsman on the transparency of the Council's legislative process and the initiative of a majority of national parliaments calling for more transparency from the Council and informal bodies such as the Eurogroup, in line with similar requests made by Parliament in this respect;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Reminds that in the period 1 January 2013 to 30 June 2016 the majority of complaints received by the European Ombudsman concerned an alleged lack of transparency, as highlighted by the Commission in its Report of 24.1.2017 “On progress towards effective EU citizenship 2013-2016”; recalls that transparency of the decision-making process of the EU institution is vital for building and strengthening credibility and trust in the EU as a whole; asks all the EU institutions and bodies to

address the still existing shortcomings in line with the provisions, amongst others, of articles 9 and 10(3) TEU, 15 TFEU and 41-42 of the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Underlines its support to modernise the Luxembourg Compromise where any government raising a “very important interest”, mandated by its parliament, would demand a unanimity-based decision at the Council of Ministers and European Council on strategic matters;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Reminds that the number of European Commissioners should be reduced in accordance with the provisions of the Treaty of Lisbon (art. 17 TUE);

Or. en

Amendment 113

PE627.860v01-00

54/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 b. Calls, moreover, on the Commission to provide concrete follow-ups to the concerns expressed by the European Parliament in its resolution of 14 September 2017 on transparency, accountability and integrity in the EU institutions and to those highlighted by the European Ombudsman in the joint inquiry into complaints 194/2017/EA, 334/2017/EA, and 543/2017/EA on the European Commission's handling of post-mandate employment of former Commissioners, a former Commission President and the role of its 'Ethics Committee';

Or. en

Amendment 114

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 b. Recalls the need to provide the European Parliament with a legislative initiative power, taking into account that is the only institution directly elected by citizens, and without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 115

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 c. *Reiterates its proposal according to which, in the event of a possible future revision of the Treaties, the right of legislative initiative be mainly attributed to the European Parliament, as direct representative of the EU citizens;*

Or. en

Amendment 116

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 c. *Insists that Parliament's right of inquiry should be reinforced and that it should be granted specific, genuine and clearly delimited powers which are more in line with its political stature and competences;*

Or. en

Amendment 117

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and 'Doing Less More Efficiently' of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working;*

deleted

Amendment 118

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working; ***Recalls that the Task Force found that there is EU value added in all existing areas of EU activity and therefore did not identify any Treaty competences or policy areas that should be re-delegated definitively, in whole or in part, to the Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 119

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working; ***recalls, in this respect, that the effective application of the principle of subsidiarity is one of the ways of combating euroscepticism and underlines the fundamental role of local and regional authorities in the application of Union law;***

Or. en

Amendment 120
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working; ***considers that many of these recommendations, particularly regarding the role of national parliaments within the Union and the advisability of reforming the early warning system, have already been highlighted by Parliament;***

Or. pt

Amendment 121
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and ‘Doing Less More Efficiently’ of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working ***and strongly advocates the full respect of these principles;***

Or. en

Amendment 122
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and 'Doing Less More Efficiently' of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the report of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and 'Doing Less More Efficiently' of 10 July 2018, presenting recommendations on a new way of working ***on subsidiarity and proportionality***;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Proposes a major transparency drive at EU level, with a view to increasing the transparency of decision-making on public policy and guaranteeing that decisions are taken in the public interest and not unduly influenced by private interests; considers that that drive should be based on the establishment of a high authority responsible for ruling out conflicts of interest among civil servants, political office-holders and elected representatives, the mandatory preparation of a legislative footprint, the introduction of a genuine, binding transparency register covering all the Union institutions and agencies, the strengthening of the arrangements for the provision of public expertise independent of the Union and the strict enforcement of citizens' right to information, including as guaranteed by the Aarhus Convention;

Or. fr

Amendment 124

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. *Takes the view that, in order to meet the objectives set in the Paris Agreement, the Union should revise upwards its targets for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, the share of the energy mix accounted for by renewables and energy savings and commit itself to moving towards a zero-carbon economy by phasing out the use of fossil fuels;*

Or. fr

Amendment 125
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9c. *Takes the view that, in order to halt the dramatic loss of biodiversity and guarantee a healthy environment for current and future generations, the Union should take effective action to combat all sources of pollution by introducing and strictly enforcing more ambitious standards, targeting the most polluting industries in particular, whether they operate in the area of agri-foods, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, energy, transport or finance, and by taking active steps to combat deforestation and over-fishing and promoting a new farming model which safeguards the environment, farmers' livelihoods and animal welfare;*

Or. fr

Amendment 126

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9d. Calls on the Commission to bring about a radical paradigm shift in its commercial policy by ensuring that the public interest, human rights, the combating of climate disruption, environmental protection and the safeguarding of social rights are the primary objectives in any negotiation with third countries;

Or. fr

Amendment 127

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9e. Proposes the introduction of a green card for national and regional parliaments with legislative powers, so that they can make proposals at EU level; takes the view that, in order to improve the representation of citizens at EU level, democratic criteria should be applied in the Member States in order to ensure that all the national parliaments can scrutinise the standpoints adopted by their governments in the Council and in the Eurogroup;

Or. fr

Amendment 128

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; ***points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation should receive particular attention within the economic policy coordination of the European Semester***; recalls in this context that ***social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making***;

Amendment

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; ***regrets, however, the non-binding character of the Social Pillar, unable, as such, to shift the EU focus from macro-economic policies, internal market and fiscal policy to social policy targets; is convinced that social targets must be prioritised, by giving full effect to article 9 TFEU, and that social rights must be decoupled from economic and fiscal conditionality***; recalls in this context that ***collective rights have proven to be indispensable to improve EU policy- and law-making; calls for the replacement of the European Semester with democratic decision-making of the co-legislators - European Parliament and Council - with close involvement of the social partners and civil society, using the instrument of directives to set social minimum standards at EU level for gradual upwards convergence of social standards in the Member States***;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Welcomes*** the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are ***mainly*** in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, ***while progress***

Amendment

10. ***Notes*** the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are ***and must remain*** in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society;

in its implementation should receive particular attention within the economic policy coordination of the European Semester; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Pervenche Berès, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation should receive particular attention within the economic policy coordination of the European Semester; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making;

Amendment

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation should receive particular attention within the economic policy coordination of the European Semester; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making *and that the more it offers a high level of social protection to its citizens, the less the question of its legitimacy will arise;*

Or. en

Amendment 131

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation *should* receive *particular* attention within the economic policy coordination of the European Semester; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making;

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation *could* receive *balanced* attention within the economic policy coordination of the European Semester; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making;

Or. en

Amendment 132
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation should receive particular attention within *the* economic policy *coordination of the European Semester*; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making;

Amendment

10. Welcomes the joint proclamation endorsing the European Pillar of Social Rights; points out that the competences and tools required to deliver on the pillar are mainly in the hands of local, regional and national authorities, as well as social partners and civil society, while progress in its implementation should receive particular attention within *European* economic policy; recalls in this context that social dialogue has proven to be an indispensable instrument to improve EU policy- and law-making;

Or. it

Amendment 133
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

PE627.860v01-00

64/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. *Takes the view that minimum social protection rules can already be introduced on the basis of the Treaties as they stand; calls for the introduction in the eurozone of automatic stabilisers which are open to all other Member States wishing to take part; takes the view that these automatic stabilisers should incorporate at least a European unemployment insurance system and a minimum income fixed at 60% of the median national income; proposes that work should start on a roadmap for the gradual pooling of public debt among the eurozone countries;*

Or. fr

Amendment 134

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. *Points out that horizontal social clause enshrined in article 9 TFEU requires the Union to give careful consideration to the impact of EU legislation on social standards and employment and with a due consultation of social stakeholders,*

Or. en

Amendment 135

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Reiterates its call for a social protocol to be introduced in the Treaties in order to strengthen fundamental social rights in relation to economic freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 136

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Calls once again on the Member States to sign and ratify the revised European Social Charter and the European Convention on Social Security (ETS No 78); calls also on the Commission to start negotiations with the Council of Europe in order to launch the process for the accession of the EU to the European Social Charter; meanwhile, asks the Commission to use the provisions of the Social Charter as guiding standards for the impact assessments carried out on the basis of article 12 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making and for drafting the explanatory memoranda foreseen in article 25 of such Agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 c. Stresses that environmental protection shall become a short-term top

priority for the EU in the light of the current environmental degradation, and shall be mainstreamed in all policies and actions of the Union; moreover suggests, in order to better attain the above-mentioned objective, to introduce in the Treaties a specific reference to the Right of Nature, as developed, for instance, in the Constitution of Ecuador;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. *Recalls its own proposals and welcomes those made by the Commission and some Member States for better governance of the EMU and for a stronger and more democratic institutional architecture, but regrets the slow pace of adoption and implementation of its proposals, as well as the disappointing results of the last European Council summit of 28-29 June 2018 in this regard;*

Amendment

11. *Deplores that the current economic governance system of the EU has proved itself ineffective to tackle the major challenges that are affecting Union citizens, such as increased inequalities, poverty and social exclusion, high-rate unemployment and work insecurity; calls for a reformed governance of the EMU, for a new European deal to face the social adversities of globalisation and for a stronger and more democratic institutional architecture, based on the principles of transparency, accountability and respect for the rule of law;*

Or. en

Amendment 139
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. *Deplores strongly the massive harm caused by austerity policies,*

especially welfare cuts and rising unemployment, and the devastating effects thereof on the lives of European citizens;

Or. it

Amendment 140

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Suggests that the coordination of economic policies as provided for in Article 5 TFEU should become a ‘shared competence’ between the Union and the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 141

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Calls for the governance institutions of the EMU to be designed in such a way to take full account of the need for democratic support of its decisions by Parliament and its relationship with national parliaments, in order to provide the economic and financial governance for the euro area with the maximum social and democratic legitimacy;

12. Calls for the governance institutions of the EMU to be designed in such a way to take full account of the need for democratic support of its decisions by Parliament and its relationship with national parliaments ***by ensuring appropriate parliamentary scrutiny mechanisms***, in order to provide the economic and financial governance for the euro area with the maximum social, ***economic*** and democratic legitimacy ***and improve the follow-up of Union recommendations***;

Or. en

Amendment 142
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls for the governance institutions of the EMU to be designed in such a way to ***take full account*** of the ***need for democratic support of its decisions by Parliament and*** its relationship with national parliaments, in order to ***provide*** the economic and financial governance for the euro area ***with the maximum social and*** democratic legitimacy;

Amendment

12. Calls for the governance institutions of the EMU to be designed in such a way to ***provide for a stronger decision-making power*** of the ***European Parliament, while taking into account*** its relationship with national parliaments, ***and for a full judicial oversight of the Court of Justice,*** in order to ***confer to*** the economic and financial governance for the euro area ***an enhanced*** democratic legitimacy;

Or. en

Amendment 143
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pervenche Berès, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12 a. Recalls that all Member States excepting those with a derogation in the Treaty shall adopt the euro and should take all the necessary steps to meet the legal and economic requirements for its adoption in a timely fashion; Calls for the establishment of a maximum of a 10-year transition period for joining the EMU for new Member States;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 144
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Recalls that, according to the Court of Justice (Joined Cases C-8/15 P to C-10/15 P, Ledra Advertising), the European institutions are bound to respect and uphold the provisions of the EU Charter of fundamental rights even when they act outside the EU legal framework;

Or. en

Amendment 145 Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Welcomes the convergence of positions taken by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 146 Pascal Durand on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Welcomes the convergence of positions taken by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework;

13. Welcomes the convergence of positions taken by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework **and should be open to**

Member States which have not yet joined the euro, but wish to do so; takes the view that this budget, to be used to fund joint investments, may be established on the basis of enhanced cooperation and should be funded by an own resources system;

Or. fr

Amendment 147
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. *Welcomes the convergence of positions taken* by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework;

Amendment

13. *Takes note of the document drawn up* by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework *and believes that this should provide for tax transfers from trade surplus countries to deficit countries in order to ensure real solidarity within the EU;*

Or. it

Amendment 148
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. *Welcomes the* convergence of positions taken by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework;

Amendment

13. *Takes note of the alleged* convergence of positions taken by France and Germany on the idea of a budgetary capacity for the euro area; reiterates its view that this capacity should be developed within the EU framework;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Welcomes the Commission communication on a European Minister of Economy and Finance; points out that merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability at European level;

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 150
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Welcomes the Commission communication on a European Minister of Economy and Finance; points out that merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability at European level;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 151
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Welcomes the Commission communication on a European Minister of Economy and Finance; points out that merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability at European level;

14. Welcomes the Commission communication on a European Minister of Economy and Finance; points out that merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability *and the democratic control of economic governance* at European level, *as well as avoid a duplication of roles that is confusing for the public; recalls in this respect its wish to progressively see the coordination of economic policies, as presented in Article 5 TFEU, become a shared competence;*

Or. en

Amendment 152

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. ***Welcomes the Commission communication on a European Minister of Economy and Finance;*** points out that merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability at European level;

Amendment

14. Points out that merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability at European level; ***insists, however, that the EU should firstly clarify the legal nature of the decisions taken by the Eurogroup as well as its functions and responsibilities;***

Or. en

Amendment 153

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. ***Welcomes the Commission communication on*** a European Minister of Economy and Finance; points out that ***merging the positions of Commission Vice-President for Economic Affairs and Chair of the Eurogroup could improve parliamentary accountability at European level;***

14. ***Strongly rejects the proposal of*** a European Minister of Economy and Finance; points out that ***this and any harmonisation of taxation policies would imprison the member states in a 'fiscal cage'***; recalls how Europe needs fair fiscal competition between its Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Asks the European Council, the Council, when meeting informally, and the Eurogroup to start applying the Transparency Regulation and to develop rules of procedure that are in line with the standards developed in EU legislation and case law, as already requested in the Letter of the COSAC Delegations to the EU Institutions of 20 December 2017 on the transparency of political decision-making within the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Stresses the need to aim to reduce the economic costs of regulatory burden which have resulted from excessive existing Union regulations by 25% by 2020, with a more ambitious and longer

term target of 50% by 2030, taking particular regard for the effects of such over-regulation on SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Calls for the completion of the Banking Union with the implementation of the European Deposit Insurance Scheme;

Or. en

Amendment 157

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Supports the transformation of the European Stability Mechanism into a European Stability Fund, accountable to the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 158

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 c. Reminds the need to issue European bonds in order to generate additional own resources and provide the Eurozone with a European Safe Asset;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 d. Calls for the development of a European Unemployment Insurance linked to the Euro Area fiscal capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 e (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 e. Calls on the Commission to activate article 116 TFEU in order to circumvent the hijacking of the Council by certain Member States in the field of tax harmonization and calls for the fight against tax fraud and avoidance to become an objective of the Union;

Or. en

**Amendment 161
Martina Anderson**

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 162

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources;

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027 ***because it suffers from the lack of financial engagement to face the current as well as the new challenges ahead for the EU***, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources; ***points out that spending at EU level can save money at national level by avoiding duplication and through***

Amendment 163

Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources;

Amendment

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources; ***Regrets that the Commission suggested cuts in cohesion policy, which proved to work on the ground and boost convergence within the entire EU, which is key to ensuring the Union's competitiveness and staying an important global player;***

Amendment 164

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. ***Welcomes the Commission*** proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual

Amendment

15. ***Takes note of the Commission's*** proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by ***the*** Parliament, ***but regrets the non-introduction of other possible sources of***

financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources;

revenues such as an EU wide coordinated wealth levy, a Financial Transaction Tax (FTT) and a carbon tax; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new **common** challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. *Welcomes* the Commission proposal on own resources **introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament**; **express** concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, **and regrets** the positions taken by *some* Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, **despite unanimous recognition** of the **need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources**;

Amendment

15. **Rejects** the Commission proposal on own resources; **expresses** concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027 **as it fails to take into account the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the Union and the necessary reduction in EU spending that must coincide with it**; **supports** the positions taken by *the many* Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU **recognising the failure** of the **Commission to propose a MFF that is more efficient, better targeted and solely focused on areas in which the EU can add value**;

Or. en

Amendment 166

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

AM\1163082EN.docx

79/123

PE627.860v01-00

EN

Motion for a resolution

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament; express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, **and regrets the positions taken by some Member States that refuse to provide more resources to the EU, despite unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities, and therefore the need for more financial resources;**

Amendment

15. Welcomes the Commission proposal on own resources introducing new real own resources, as requested by Parliament **to avoid increasing fiscal transfers from Member States;** express concern at the Commission proposal for the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2021-2027, **given the unanimous recognition of the need to face new challenges and responsibilities;**

Or. it

Amendment 167

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15a. Points out that the MFF should be consistent with the Union's climate and environmental commitments and ensure that European public money is used to finance our societies' green transition; considers that, with that aim in view, at least half the EU budget should be earmarked to cover expenditure on measures to combat climate disruption and that no European programme should serve to fund the use of fossil fuels;

Or. fr

Amendment 168

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

PE627.860v01-00

80/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Paragraph 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15b. *Points out that, if shared challenges are to be met, the EU budget should on no account be reduced below 2020 levels, Brexit notwithstanding; takes the view, further, that no rebates should be granted under the MFF and that the MFF should mostly be funded from own resources; considers that the EU budget should reflect the Union's political priorities, in particular its climate-related and environmental ambitions, and the need to combat socioeconomic inequalities and all forms of discrimination, including those based on gender;*

Or. fr

Amendment 169

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Regrets that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up neither to its call for a convergence code – to be adopted by codecision – in order to have a more effective framework for economic policy coordination, nor to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in the European Semester; recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments in the European Semester;

16. Regrets that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up neither to its call for a convergence code – to be adopted by codecision – in order to have a more effective framework for economic policy coordination, nor to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in the European Semester; recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments in the European Semester; ***takes the view that this convergence code should incorporate economic objectives, but also***

environmental and social ones, in such a way as to make the Union the guarantor not only of a balanced budget, but also of measures to combat socioeconomic inequalities, the exploitation of resources and environmental degradation and can intervene when the Member States fail to meet these criteria;

Or. fr

Amendment 170

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Regrets that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up neither to its call for a convergence code – to be adopted by codecision – in order to have a more effective framework for economic policy coordination, nor to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in the European Semester; recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments in the European Semester;

Amendment

16. Regrets that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up neither to its call for a convergence code – to be adopted by codecision – in order to have a more effective framework for economic policy coordination, nor to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in the European Semester; ***stresses, however, that the criteria to lay down in the Convergence Code shall never entail any levelling down of social, environmental and human rights standards enshrined in the European and international human rights law or impede the adoption, by the Member States, of more favourable provisions in this area;*** recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments in the European Semester ***and to promote the social dialogue as provided for in articles 151, 154 and 155 TFEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 171
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Regrets that, *to date, there has been no practical follow-up neither to its call for a convergence code – to be adopted by codecision – in order to have a more effective framework for economic policy coordination, nor* to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in *the European Semester*; recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments *in the European Semester*;

Amendment

16. Regrets that *austerity and macro-conditionality still remain very much the order of the day, preventing the introduction of fairer criteria for economic policy coordination*; *regrets also that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up* to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in *EU economic coordination*; recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments;

Or. it

Amendment 172
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Regrets that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up *neither to its call for a convergence code – to be adopted by codecision – in order to have a more effective framework for economic policy coordination, nor* to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in the European Semester; recalls in this context its suggestion that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order

Amendment

16. Regrets that, to date, there has been no practical follow-up to its call for an interinstitutional agreement (IIA) to be concluded to give Parliament a more substantial role in the European Semester; recalls in this context its suggestion, *specifically in line with its report on the implementation of the Treaty provisions concerning national parliaments (A8-0127/2018)*, that budgetary calendars at national and European level need to be better coordinated throughout the process in order to better involve both the

to better involve both the European Parliament and national parliaments in the European Semester;

European Parliament and national parliaments in the European Semester;

Or. pt

Amendment 173

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Stresses that Europe is, and should continue to be, a positive force in the world, by upholding its values, multilateralism and International law; recalls that the Union and its Member States are the largest contributor to international development aid;

Or. en

Amendment 174

Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Is of the opinion that taking into account the results of both the Lisbon and Europe 2020 strategy, the EU should refrain from asking for more competences in economic matters;

Or. en

Amendment 175

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Considers that the Union should continue to advance international trade while defending social, labour and environmental standards; warns against tradewars that only produce losers and increase political and security tensions;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Welcomes the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; recalls its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 177

Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Welcomes the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; recalls its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 178
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Welcomes the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; recalls its call for the establishment of a

17. Welcomes the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; recalls its call for the establishment of a

permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard;

permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard; **welcomes the strengthening of the European Civil Protection Mechanism and calls once again for the creation of a European Civil Protection Corps, given that the existing Treaties provide a good basis for this;**

Or. pt

Amendment 179

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. **Welcomes** the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) **as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes** proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; **recalls** its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), **and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken** in this area **and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national**

Amendment

17. **Regrets** the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), **and rejects** proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; **also rejects** its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR); **reminds that defence policy must remain a matter of national sovereignty, with NATO as the cornerstone of Europe's defence and security objectives; insists therefore that any further developments by the EU in this area must adhere to the commitments made in the 2016 EU-NATO Joint**

parliaments in this regard;

Declaration;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. **Welcomes** the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) **as important steps towards** a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; **recalls its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and** underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard;

Amendment

17. **Takes note of** the Council decision establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) **in view of setting** a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard; **reminds that any action or measure adopted by the Union in the field of external action shall be subjected to the strict observance and the full respect of the principles enshrined, amongst others, in article 2, 3(5) and 21 TEU;**

Or. en

Amendment 181

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the Council decision establishing permanent structured

PE627.860v01-00

Amendment

17. Welcomes the Council decision establishing permanent structured

88/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

cooperation (PESCO), the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) **and the European Defence Fund (EDF)** as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; recalls its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard;

cooperation (PESCO) **and** the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) as important steps towards a common defence policy, and notes proposals by certain Member States for an EU Security Council and a European Intervention Initiative; recalls its call for the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers chaired by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), and underlines the importance of appropriate democratic accountability of decisions taken in this area and the need for reinforced cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in this regard;

Or. fr

Amendment 182

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

deleted

Amendment 183**Fabio Massimo Castaldo****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 18***Motion for a resolution*

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

Amendment

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; ***stresses the need to abandon the crisis mentality that has to date been dictating European strategy and*** underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project; ***therefore considers it an absolute and immediate priority to ensure that European policy is based on the fair distribution of responsibilities between Member States and solidarity, principles expressly stated in Article 67;***

Or. it

Amendment 184**Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 18***Motion for a resolution*

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level

Amendment

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level

comprehensive immigration policy, which would *make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee* respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, *among other objectives*; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

comprehensive immigration *and asylum* policy, which would *establish a well-functioning migration and refugees policy rooted on articles 67 and 80 TFEU and on the principles of solidarity, non-discrimination, non-refoulement and on proactive search and rescue, in line with the obligations deriving from the Geneva Convention, the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union, the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea and the International Convention on Maritime Search and Rescue, providing for the full* respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

Amendment

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project; *points out that one effective, rational and safe way of preventing the human dramas linked to*

the displacement of persons forced to flee their homes is to establish legal channels for migration;

Or. fr

Amendment 186

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

Amendment

18. ***Strongly*** deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project ***which suffers directly from an instrumentalisation of the migration issue by the Eurosceptic parties;***

Or. en

Amendment 187

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level

Amendment

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level

comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to organise and regulate migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States *as well as the discontent voiced by citizens* need to be overcome in order not to jeopardise the European integration project;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to *organise and regulate* migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome *in order not to jeopardise the European integration project*;

Amendment

18. Deplores the absence of agreement among the Member States on the priorities and implementation of an EU-level comprehensive immigration policy, which would make it possible to *stop* migratory flows, control our external borders more effectively, cooperate with countries of origin and transit, and guarantee respect for the fundamental rights of migrants and asylum seekers, among other objectives; underlines that the obvious contradictions in interests exposed by Member States need to be overcome ;

Or. en

Amendment 189
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

AM\1163082EN.docx

93/123

PE627.860v01-00

EN

18a. Recognizes that the strategic interest of the EU lies in deepening and adapting its partnership with Africa and that it is necessary to ensure stronger mutual commitment based on shared values and interests and closer dialogue and cooperation in international forums; welcomes the 'Communication for a renewed impetus of the Africa-EU Partnership' and hopes for the achievement of a new and ambitious EU-ACP partnership focused on individuals (people-centred partnership), based on values such as peace, stability and democracy, good governance and economic and human development and driven by a willingness to form a united front in response to challenges such as migration, mobility and climate change, in accordance with the UN 2030 agenda;

Or. it

Amendment 190

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Reaffirms that the Union must adopt a long-term strategy to address the root causes of migration in third countries (persecution, military conflicts, generalised violence, climate change, natural disasters and extreme poverty) and create safe and regular channels to access the EU; in this regard, calls for negotiations to be stopped on all types of agreements related to migration, including readmission agreements, with third countries which do not guarantee the protection of refugees and respect for fundamental rights and of the Geneva Convention of 1951 and its 1967 Protocol;

Amendment 191

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. *Takes the view that the Dublin system does not guarantee either reception arrangements for asylum seekers which are such as to safeguard their dignity and their fundamental rights or solidarity between Member States, and that it should therefore be overhauled as a matter of urgency; considers that a new system should be based on the fair allocation of asylum seekers within the Union, in keeping with objective criteria which take account of their emotional and family ties;*

Or. fr

Amendment 192

Pervenche Berès, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. *Points out that the Union must commit itself fully to the green transition and sustainable development, both of which are key, strategic components of a European recovery, and in particular make them the guiding principles underpinning an investment strategy in the service of a new economic model designed to help it meet the threefold challenge - environmental, economic and social - it faces;*

Amendment 193
Pervenche Berès, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18b. Emphasises that a series of scandals and revelations have severely shaken citizens' confidence in our financial and tax system; points out that, if the Union's credibility is to be restored, it is vital to guarantee tax and social justice by quickly implementing and strengthening the legal tools required to ensure that opacity gives way to transparency, to combat tax evasion and money laundering and make taxation fairer;

Or. fr

Amendment 194
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 b. Stresses the urgency of effective integration policies of migrants who have entered the EU, and calls on the Member States to refrain from any form of labour exploitation or religious discrimination of migrant workers; calls also on the Member States to put an end to their reluctance to ratify the 1990 International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the social legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European sovereignty;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 196
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a

Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the *social* legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European sovereignty;

Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; ***takes the view that the European Council should propose for the post of Commission President the candidate most likely to secure a parliamentary majority; points out that that person will not necessarily be the candidate from the political grouping which won the European elections;*** considers it essential to strengthen the *political* legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European sovereignty;

Or. fr

Amendment 197

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. ***Underlines its determination to continue*** with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, ***and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the social legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European sovereignty;***

Amendment

19. ***Expresses concerns*** with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President; ***regrets that in 2014 the process failed to engage with citizens as both the candidates and European political parties were entirely unknown to a vast majority of citizens, weakening the political legitimacy of both Parliament and the Commission; warns that this same situation will occur in the 2019 European elections;***

Or. en

Amendment 198
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that *it will reject any candidate* in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers *it essential to strengthen* the social legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European sovereignty;

Amendment

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that, in the investiture procedure of the Commission President, *the Treaties are being interpreted in line with the usage that any candidate* who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority *will be rejected*; considers *that this usage has amply proved its worth, strengthening* the social legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European sovereignty;

Or. pt

Amendment 199
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission

Amendment

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission

President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the *social* legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship *and European sovereignty*;

President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship;

Or. en

Amendment 200

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Richard Corbett, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the *social* legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European *sovereignty*;

Amendment

19. Underlines its determination to continue with the Spitzenkandidaten process for the election of the next Commission President, and welcomes the support of the Commission and certain Member States in this respect; underlines that it will reject any candidate in the investiture procedure of the Commission President who was not appointed as a Spitzenkandidat in the run-up to European Parliament elections and who does not have a sufficient parliamentary majority; considers it essential to strengthen the legitimacy of the European elections and the supranational role of the European Parliament as an exponent of European citizenship and European *democracy*;

Or. en

Amendment 201

Pervenche Berès, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. Recalls that, as stated by the Ombudsman, when appointing its Secretary-General the Commission 'failed to comply with either the letter or the spirit of the relevant rules'; points out that a new procedure, consistent with the rules, for filling this post should be conducted when the new President of the Commission and the new Commissioners are appointed;

Or. fr

Amendment 202
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 203
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free

national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions;

national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions; ***considers that the Member States' failure to comply with agreements adopted at European Summits and Councils seriously undermines the credibility of the European institutions, and their implementation should therefore be more effectively guaranteed;***

Or. en

Amendment 204

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions;

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions; ***requests the national authorities to promote a pro-European message of cohesion to achieve a major civil implication in the attainment of the future aims of the Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 205

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Regrets the frequent and

PE627.860v01-00

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and

102/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions;

widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European *sentiments and* nationalism and discredits the EU institutions; *Considers furthermore that false attributions are contrary to the imperative of accountability of governmental action;*

Or. en

Amendment 206

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation *to attribute unpopular decisions to* Brussels and to *free national authorities of* their *responsibilities and politics*, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, *promotes anti-European nationalism and* discredits the EU institutions;

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation *of MEPs to state one policy position in* Brussels and *an entirely different one* to their *citizens in the Member States*, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, discredits the EU institutions *and has contributed to further supranational integration without and even against the consent of its citizens;*

Or. en

Amendment 207

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation, *made possible by*

unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions;

the Council's opaque working methods, to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits the EU institutions;

Or. fr

Amendment 208
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. *Regrets the frequent and widespread* temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to **Brussels** and to free national authorities of their responsibilities **and politics**, given that this **unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European nationalism and discredits** the EU institutions;

Amendment

20. *Notes the* temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to **the EU institutions** and to free national authorities of their responsibilities, *notes* that this **can indicate an** opportunistic attitude **which can damage and discredit** the EU institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 209
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European **nationalism** and discredits the EU institutions;

Amendment

20. Regrets the frequent and widespread temptation to attribute unpopular decisions **only** to Brussels and to free national authorities of their responsibilities and politics, given that this unjust and opportunistic attitude damages Europe, promotes anti-European **feelings** and discredits the EU **and the national** institutions;

Amendment 210

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Pervenche Berès, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Underlines the need for a stronger assessment of the social and environmental consequences of EU policies, by keeping in mind also the cost of non-legislation at European level (so-called “cost of non Europe”);

Or. en

Amendment 211

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public *sphere* as a supranational *area of European democracy*; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs *a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework*;

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public *space* as a supranational *democratic space*; *takes the view that this must involve, for example, the Europeanisation of public debates, in particular in the media, devoting more time in school curricula to the functioning of the Union and its powers, and significantly strengthening the tools for citizens' participation in political life, such as the European citizens' initiative, which should be significantly upgraded*; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not *only* from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs *genuine European citizenship, which*

should, ultimately, be granted directly by the Union to any person living on EU territory, irrespective of their nationality;

Or. fr

Amendment 212
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing *must* be addressed and discussed *from a European perspective and not from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;*

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing *can* be addressed and discussed *through the introduction of an annual European week allowing Members of the European Parliament and Commissioners to appear simultaneously before national parliaments to discuss the European agenda with their MPs and with representatives of civil society and explain it to them; points out that this measure will strengthen European identity, interlinking the peoples of Europe and bringing them closer together;*

Or. pt

Amendment 213
Morten Messerschmidt
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the *European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy*; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the *democratic legitimacy of the European institutions by increasing the role of national parliaments in the EU decision-making process*; stresses that the major

addressed and discussed from a European perspective and **not from** a national perspective; **points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;**

challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from **both** a European perspective and a national perspective; **reminds that Europe is a continent with diverse cultures and identities and that there can never be a European demos; points out that the diversity in the European Union is its strength, and that it should therefore refrain from attempting to harmonise the cultures and identities of its Member States;**

Or. en

Amendment 214

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Underlines the need to **strengthen** the European public sphere as a **supranational** area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed **from a European perspective and not from a national perspective;** points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs **a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;**

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to **clear the way for** the European public sphere as a **transnational** area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed **with the full involvement of all the parties concerned, at European and national level, and by giving full effect to the provisions enshrined in articles 10 and 11 TEU;** points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs **to develop a concrete EU participatory, social and rights-based dimension able to foster the development of a genuine European demos and to promote** more European institutional education;

Or. en

Amendment 215

Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution

AM\1163082EN.docx

107/123

PE627.860v01-00

EN

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. ***Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy;*** stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a ***European*** perspective and not from a ***national*** perspective; points out that, ***for this reason***, European democracy ***needs a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;***

Amendment

21. Stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a ***national*** perspective and not from a ***European*** perspective; points out that European democracy ***lacks a European demos and therefore legitimacy; underlines however the deep cultural ties that unite Europeans and distinguish them from the rest of the world;***

Or. en

Amendment 216

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs ***a European identity, a genuinely European demos***, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national ***social*** framework;

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not ***just*** from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs ***to protect all the fundamental values and principles of our democratic system while promoting the development of an*** European identity, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national framework;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that ***the major*** challenges Europe is facing ***must be*** addressed ***and*** discussed ***from*** a European ***perspective and not from*** a national ***perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;***

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that ***there are*** challenges ***which*** Europe is facing ***which are more effectively*** addressed ***when*** discussed ***at*** a European ***level, in co-operation with all*** national ***perspectives of Member States, without prejudice to their competencies and their*** national ***authorities.***

Or. en

Amendment 218
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs ***a European identity, a genuinely European demos, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;***

Amendment

21. Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy; stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory and less national social framework;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. ***Underlines the need to strengthen the European public sphere as a supranational area of European democracy;*** stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not from a national perspective; points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs a European identity, ***a genuinely European demos,*** more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory ***and less national social*** framework;

Amendment

21. Stresses that the major challenges Europe is facing must be addressed and discussed from a European perspective and not from a national perspective ***only;*** points out that, for this reason, European democracy needs a European identity, more European institutional education and a deliberative, more participatory ***societal*** framework ***as well as a more European and less nationally focused campaign for the upcoming European elections in 2019;***

Or. en

Amendment 220

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has ***shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;***

Amendment

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has ***highlighted the extent of the dangers which the decision to leave the Union is creating for the nationals of a State, its economy, its businesses and its ability to exert influence on the world stage; takes the view, whilst expressing regret at the United Kingdom's decision to leave the Union, that Brexit could offer the Union an opportunity to consolidate its political***

integration;

Or. fr

Amendment 221

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. ***Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;***

Amendment

22. ***Is aware of the complexity of the negotiations concerning the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; demands the negotiating parties to fully honour their earlier commitments concerning the content of the Withdrawal Agreement by providing for positive and concrete solutions to the still outstanding issues specifically in the areas of citizens' rights and the Status on Northern Ireland as also requested in the European Parliament resolution of 14 March 2018 on the framework of the future EU-UK relationship;***

Or. en

Amendment 222

Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such

Amendment

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such

decisions;

decisions; *stresses that maintaining a close cooperation relationship with the United Kingdom is extremely relevant to the future of the Union;*

Or. pt

Amendment 223

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Richard Corbett, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;

Amendment

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions; *recalls that there is still time for the UK to reconsider its intension to leave;*

Or. en

Amendment 224

Morten Messerschmidt

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; *notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such*

Amendment

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; *reminds that the deal currently being negotiated between the EU and the UK can serve as a*

decisions;

model agreement for any other Member State who wishes to leave in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 225
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, *and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States*; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;

Amendment

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union ; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 226
Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Welcomes the approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;

Amendment

22. Welcomes the *Union's* approach taken to the current negotiations on the United Kingdom's orderly withdrawal from the European Union, and underlines the remarkable unity displayed by the EU institutions and Member States; notes that experience in the negotiations to date has shown the enormous complexities of such decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 227
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Asks the EU and UK negotiators to spell out in detail, in the Withdrawal Agreement, all the issues concerning the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union so as to guarantee their binding nature and avoid, as much as possible, any legal uncertainty in their interpretation;

Or. en

Amendment 228
Gerolf Annemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. Underlines ***once more that neither*** national sovereignty ***nor*** subsidiarity ***can*** justify ***or*** legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union ***which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect***; underlines furthermore that upholding these values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States; ***recalls its recommendation to establish a European mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights***;

23. Underlines ***that*** national sovereignty ***and*** subsidiarity justify ***and*** legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the ***interpretation of*** fundamental values of the European Union ***made by*** the European ***parliament*** ; underlines furthermore that upholding these values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Underlines once more that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect; underlines furthermore that upholding these values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States; recalls its recommendation to establish a European mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

23. Underlines once more that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties **and EU laws**, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect; underlines furthermore that upholding these values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States; recalls its recommendation to establish a European mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights **which based on a codecision procedure between the Parliament and the Council would sanction clear infringements of these principles**;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. ***Underlines once more that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European***

Amendment

23. ***Recalls that, according to article 2 TEU, the Union is founded on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities, which reflect binding and well-established***

Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect; underlines furthermore that upholding these *values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States*; recalls its recommendation to establish a *European mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights*;

principles of international law that every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect; underlines furthermore that upholding *and promoting* these *principles is a precondition for guaranteeing the legitimacy of and building trust in* the European project;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Underlines once more that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect; underlines furthermore that upholding these values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States; recalls its recommendation to establish a European mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Amendment

23. Underlines once more that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed to respect; underlines furthermore that upholding these values is fundamental for the cohesion of the European project, the rights of all Europeans and the mutual trust needed among the Member States; recalls its recommendation to establish a *binding* European mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. fr

Amendment 232

Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23 a (new)

PE627.860v01-00

116/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 a. *Reminds that, according to Article 49 TEU, candidate countries must demonstrate to satisfy the Copenhagen criteria in order to become members of the EU and the Commission has a duty to demand full compliance with them; stresses that, once part of the EU, Member States have a corresponding obligation to respect and protect the principle of the rule of law and its constitutive elements but regrets that the Union has limited instruments to ensure that Member States comply with such obligation; asks once again to the Commission to swiftly submit a proposal giving effect to the European Parliament resolution of 25 October 2016 with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;*

Or. en

Amendment 233

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 a. *Stresses that the process of reflection on the future of Europe has already started on the basis of the various positions on EU reform taken by the Parliament, European Council and the Commission; regrets that, despite this positions, only marginal reforms have been envisaged;*

Or. en

Amendment 234

AM\1163082EN.docx

117/123

PE627.860v01-00

EN

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 b. *Emphasize that, once the new Parliament and Commission are installed, they should capitalize on the work done in the previous legislature and start working on the proposals made;*

Or. en

Amendment 235

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Reiterates that the process of reflection on the future of Europe ***and on a review of the Lisbon Treaty should eventually lead to a Convention being convened – guaranteeing inclusiveness through its composition of representatives and providing a platform for reflection and engagement with stakeholders and citizens – with a view to discussing and drawing conclusions from*** the various contributions to the reflection process on the future of Europe by the institutions and other bodies of the Union and the proposals put forward by heads of state or government, national parliaments and civil society and in citizen consultations;

24. Reiterates that the process of reflection on the future of Europe ***should eventually lead to a genuine constitutional development process designed to endow the Union with a concise and comprehensible Constitution which safeguards fundamental rights and the separation of powers, defines the nature of the institutions and their respective powers, sets out the decision-making processes and lays down the division of competences between*** the various levels of power; ***takes the view that this Constitution cannot be prepared*** by the heads of state and government at an intergovernmental conference and that it is high time that citizens were given the opportunity to draw up together, by means of a democratic constitutional development process involving an open and collaborative phase, the document which will lay down rules governing their society; ***takes the view that the text should be ratified by means of a trans-European referendum requiring a double majority***

Amendment 236

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Reiterates that ***the process of reflection on the future of Europe and on a review*** of the Lisbon Treaty should ***eventually lead to*** a Convention being convened – guaranteeing inclusiveness through its composition of representatives and providing a platform for reflection and engagement with stakeholders and citizens – with a view to discussing and drawing conclusions from the various contributions to the reflection process on the future of Europe by the institutions and other bodies of the Union and the proposals put forward by heads of state or government, national parliaments and civil society and in citizen consultations;

Amendment

24. Reiterates that ***taking into account the present state of the integration project, the EU must exhaust every possible avenue to ensure the full implementation*** of the Lisbon Treaty. ***Points out that a subsequent revision of the Treaties should be based on*** a Convention being convened – guaranteeing inclusiveness through its composition of representatives and providing a platform for reflection and engagement with stakeholders and citizens – with a view to discussing and drawing conclusions from the various contributions to the reflection process on the future of Europe by the institutions and other bodies of the Union and the proposals put forward by heads of state or government, national parliaments and civil society and in citizen consultations;

Amendment 237

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Reiterates that the process of reflection on the future of Europe and on a review of the Lisbon Treaty should eventually lead to a Convention being

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

convened – guaranteeing inclusiveness through its composition of representatives and providing a platform for reflection and engagement with stakeholders and citizens – with a view to discussing and drawing conclusions from the various contributions to the reflection process on the future of Europe by the institutions and other bodies of the Union and the proposals put forward by heads of state or government, national parliaments and civil society and in citizen consultations;

Or. es

Amendment 238

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Reiterates that the process of reflection on the future of Europe and on a review of the Lisbon Treaty ***should eventually*** lead to a Convention being convened – guaranteeing inclusiveness through its composition of representatives and providing a platform for reflection and engagement with stakeholders and citizens – with a view to discussing and drawing conclusions from the various contributions to the reflection process on the future of Europe by the institutions and other bodies of the Union and the proposals put forward by heads of state or government, national parliaments and civil society and in citizen consultations;

Amendment

24. Reiterates that the process of reflection on the future of Europe and on a review of the Lisbon Treaty ***has to*** lead to a Convention being convened – guaranteeing inclusiveness through its composition of representatives and providing a platform for reflection and engagement with stakeholders and citizens – with a view to discussing and drawing conclusions from the various contributions to the reflection process on the future of Europe by the institutions and other bodies of the Union and the proposals put forward by heads of state or government, national parliaments and civil society and in citizen consultations;

Or. en

Amendment 239

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

PE627.860v01-00

120/123

AM\1163082EN.docx

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24a. *Considers that that Constitution should introduce a two-chamber federal parliamentary system in which the Commission would be a fully fledged executive and the Council, transformed into an upper chamber representing the States or, where appropriate, the regions, would act as co-legislator alongside a Parliament endowed with a legislative right of initiative, including in the budgetary and fiscal sphere, and a large proportion of whose Members would be elected from a common constituency; takes the view that in such a Union the Commission presidency should be elected by the European Parliament on the basis of a political majority and a clear programme and that its composition should be determined without interference from the Member States;*

Or. fr

Amendment 240

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. *Recalls the pending Union's accession to the European Convention of Human Rights;*

Or. en

Amendment 241

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24b. *Takes the view that, if the Union is to guarantee certain fundamental and enforceable rights and objectives in practice, a pillar of civic, economic, social and environmental rights should be incorporated into primary EU law; considers that this would endow the EU with powers to act in these areas and to respond each time these rights were violated, thereby ensuring full gender equality throughout its territory, combating all forms of discrimination and guaranteeing press freedom, the protection of minorities, media pluralism, access to public goods and services, the right to a healthy environment, etc.;*

Or. fr

Amendment 242

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 b. *Affirms the importance to proceed towards a simplification of the constitutional amendment and ratification procedure, insists in this regard on the added value of a potential EU-wide referendum for the improvement of legitimacy and democracy of the Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 243

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 c. *Suggests the reform of article 7 of the TEU in order to abolish the unanimity requirement for the imposition of sanctions;*

Or. en

Amendment 244

Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 a. *Calls for the absorption of the Euratom Treaty's provisions in the Constitutional Treaty;*

Or. en